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## The embedding problem

## The Embedding problem

- $f$ irreducible polynomial of degree $m$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$
- $g$ irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[Y]$
- $m \mid n$
- $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(f(X))$
- $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}[Y] /(g(Y))$

$$
E \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \cong F
$$

- Embedding problem: how to compute the embedding from $E$ to $F$ ?


## DESCRIPTION AND EVALUATION

Two steps:

- Description: find $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ such that
- $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$
- there exists an embedding $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ mapping $\alpha_{1} \mapsto \alpha_{2}$
- Evaluation
- Compute $\phi(\gamma) \in F$ for $\gamma \in E$
- Test if $\delta \in \phi(E)$ for $\delta \in F$
- If $\delta \in \phi(E)$, compute $\phi^{-1}(\delta) \in E$


## Description - Naive algorithm

Context:

$$
E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(f) \quad F=\mathbb{F}_{p}[Y] /(g)
$$

Algorithm:

- Find a root $\rho$ of $f$ in $F$
- $\alpha_{1}=\bar{X}$
- $\alpha_{2}=\rho$


## Description - Allombert's Algorithm $(m \mid p-1)$

Assume $m \mid p-1$.

- $\exists \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, primitive $m$-th root of unity
- Find such a $\zeta$
- Solve $\sigma(x)=\zeta x$ in $E$, where $\sigma:=$ Frobenius automorphism (Hilbert 90)
- Denote by $\alpha_{1}$ a solution
- Solve $\sigma(y)=\zeta y$ in $F$
- Denote by $\alpha_{2}$ a solution


## Facts:

- $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$
- $a_{1}:=\alpha_{1}^{m} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, a_{2}:=\alpha_{2}^{m} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$
- $a_{1} / a_{2}$ is a $m$-th power in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$
- Compute $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $c^{m}=a_{1} / a_{2}$

Take the map $\alpha_{1} \mapsto c \alpha_{2}$

## DESCRIPTION - ALLOMBERT's ALGORITHM

In general:

- We do not necessarily have primitive $m$-th roots of unity $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$
- We work in $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} C$ and $F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} C$, where $C$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ containing primitive $m$-th roots of unity
- We use the same kind of results to find $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$


## The compatibility problem

## THE COMPATIBILITY PROBLEM

Context:

- $E, F, G$ fields
- $E$ subfield of $F$ and $F$ subfield of $G$
- $\phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}, \phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}, \phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}$ embeddings


$$
\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G} \circ \phi_{E \hookrightarrow F} \stackrel{?}{=} \phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}
$$

## The compatibility problem II



## Bosma, Cannon and Steel

- Allows to work with arbitrary, user-defined finite fields
- Allows to build the embeddings in arbitrary order
- Used in MAGMA


## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework (theory)

## First example



- Take $\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}^{\prime}$ an arbitrary embedding between $F$ and $G$
- Find $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(G / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ such that $\sigma \circ \phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}^{\prime} \circ \phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}=\phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}$
- Set $\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}:=\sigma \circ \phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}^{\prime}$
- There are $|\operatorname{Gal}(F / E)|$ compatible morphisms


## Bosma, CANNON AND STEEL FRAMEWORK (THEORY)

What about several subfields $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{r}$ ?

- We impose some conditions on the lattice

CE1 (Unicity) At most one morphism $\phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}$
CE2 (Reflexivity) For each $E, \phi_{E \hookrightarrow E}=\operatorname{Id}_{E}$
CE3 (Invertibility) For each pair $(E, F)$ with $E \cong F, \phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}=\phi_{F \hookrightarrow E}^{-1}$
CE4 (Transitivity) For any triple ( $E, F, G$ ) with $E$ subfield of $F$ and $F$ subfield of $G$, if we have computed $\phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}$ and $\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}$, then $\phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}=\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G} \circ \phi_{E \hookrightarrow F}$
CE5 (Intersections) For any triple ( $E, F, G$ ) with $E$ and $F$ subfields of $G$, we have that the field $S=E \cap F$ is embedded in $E$ and $F$, i.e. we have computed $\phi_{S \hookrightarrow E}$ and $\phi_{S \hookrightarrow F}$

## Bosma, CANNON AND STEEL FRAMEWORK (THEORY)



- Set $F^{\prime}$ the field generated by the fields $E_{i}$ in $F$
- Set $G^{\prime}$ the field generated by the fields $E_{i}$ in $G$

Theorem
There exists a unique isomorphism $\chi: F^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ that is compatible with all embeddings, i.e. such that for all $i, \phi_{E_{i} \hookrightarrow G^{\prime}}=\chi \circ \phi_{E_{i} \hookrightarrow F^{\prime}}$.

## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework (theory)



- We have $\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)\right|$ compatible morphisms


## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework

 (PRACTICE)- Use the naive embedding algorithm

- Consider $\alpha$ such that $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}(\alpha)$
- Take $\rho$ a root of $\phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}\left(\operatorname{Minpoly}_{E}(\alpha)\right)$
- Map $\alpha \mapsto \rho$ and

$$
\phi_{F \hookrightarrow G}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{[F: E]-1} e_{i} \alpha^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{[F: E]-1} \phi_{E \hookrightarrow G}\left(e_{i}\right) \rho^{i}
$$

## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework (PRACTICE)



- Consider $\alpha$ such that $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}(\alpha)$
- Take $\rho$ a root of $\operatorname{gcd}_{i}\left(\phi_{E_{i} \hookrightarrow G}\left(\operatorname{Minpoly}_{E_{i}}(\alpha)\right)\right)$
- Map $\alpha \mapsto \rho$


## BOSMA, CANNON AND STEEL FRAMEWORK

To embed $F$ in $G$ :

1. For each subfield $S$ of $G$, if $S \cap F$ is not embedded in $S$ and $F$, if not, embed it
2. embed $F$ in $G$ using the method seen before
3. take the transitive closure

## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework

 Some configurations with triangles:

## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework

 Some configurations with triangles:

## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework

An example of what can happen with the intersections:
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## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework
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## Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework

An example of what can happen with the intersections:


## COMPUTING AN ISOMORPHISM WITH A COMMON SUBFIELD

- We want to embed $E$ in $F$
- additionnal information: $S$ is a field embedded in $E$ and $F$
- We factor a degree $[E: S]$ polynomial in $F$, instead of a degree $\left[E: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]$ polynomial in $F$.
- Several common subfields $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}$ are equivalent to the field $S^{\prime}$ generated by the $S_{i}$ in $E$


## SOME QUESTIONS

- Can we use Bosma, Cannon and Steel framework with a more efficient algorithm ? (e.g. Allombert's)
- Can we use a similar common subfield trick with Allombert's algorithm ?


## Thank you for your attention !

